arXiv:1406.7523v1 [math.SP] 29 Jun 2014

SPECTRAL BAND BRACKETING FOR LAPLACIANS ON PERIODIC METRIC GRAPHS

EVGENY KOROTYAEV AND NATALIA SABUROVA

Abstract. We consider Laplacians on periodic metric graphs with unit-length edges. The spectrum of these operators consists of an absolutely continuous part (which is a union of an infinite number of non-degenerated spectral bands) plus an infinite number of flat bands, i.e., eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity. Our main result is a localization of spectral bands in terms of eigenvalues of Dirichlet and Neumann operators on a fundamental domain of the periodic graph. The proof is based on the spectral band localization for discrete Laplacians and on the relation between the spectra of discrete and metric Laplacians.

1. Introduction and main results

We consider Laplace operators on $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic metric graphs with unit-length edges, i.e., on the so-called $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic equilateral graphs, $d \geqslant 2$. Differential operators on metric graphs arise naturally as simplified models in mathematics, physics, chemistry, and engineering. It is well-known that the spectrum of the Laplacian on periodic metric graphs consists of an absolutely continuous part plus an infinite number of flat bands (i.e., eigenvalues with infinite multiplicity). The absolutely continuous spectrum is a union of an infinite number of spectral bands separated by gaps.

For the case of periodic metric graphs we know only two papers about estimates of the bands and gaps:

(1) Lledó and Post [LP08] considered the Laplacian on periodic metric graphs. They estimated the position of the spectral bands of the Laplacian in terms of eigenvalues of the Dirichlet and Neumann operators on a fundamental domain of the periodic graph. Then using the Cattaneo correspondence [C97] between the spectra of discrete and metric Laplacians they carried over this estimate from the metric Laplacian to the discrete one.

(2) Korotyaev and Saburova [KS14a] obtained another type of the estimate for the metric Laplacian on periodic graphs. They estimated the total length of the spectral bands on a finite interval in terms of geometric parameters of the graph only. In order to do this they estimated the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum for the discrete Laplacian on graphs. After this using the Cattaneo correspondence they carried over the estimate from the discrete case to the Laplacian on metric graphs.

Our main goal is to estimate the position of the spectral bands for the Laplacian on equilateral metric graphs using Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing. Our approach is opposite to Lledó – Post's one. They directly estimated the spectral band positions for the metric Laplacian. Then using the Cattaneo correspondence [C97] between the spectra of discrete and metric Laplacians they determined Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing for the normalized Laplacian on

Date: July 1, 2014.

Key words and phrases. Laplace operator, periodic equilateral metric graph, spectral band localization.

periodic discrete graphs. Finally, they wrote *"It is a priori not clear how the eigenvalue bracketing can be seen directly for discrete Laplacians, so our analysis may serve as an example of how to use metric graphs to obtain results for discrete graphs"* (p.809 in [LP08]). Our approach is opposite and is based on the analysis of the discrete Laplacian on graphs. Then we use the Cattaneo correspondence and carry over the spectral band localization for discrete Laplacians to the metric one.

1.1. **Metric Laplacians.** Let $\Gamma = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected infinite graph, possibly having loops and multiple edges, where V is the set of its vertices and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of its unoriented edges. The graphs under consideration are embedded into $\mathbb{R}^d$. An edge connecting vertices u and v from V will be denoted as the unordered pair $(u, v)_e \in \mathcal{E}$ and is said to be *incident* to the vertices. Vertices $u, v \in V$ will be called *adjacent* and denoted by $u \sim v$, if $(u, v)_e \in \mathcal{E}$. We define the degree $\varkappa_v$ of the vertex $v \in V$ as the number of all its incident edges from $\mathcal{E}$ (here a loop is counted twice). Below we consider locally finite $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic metric equilateral graphs Γ, i.e., graphs satisfying the following conditions:

1) *the number of vertices from V in any bounded domain $\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is finite;*

2) the degree of each vertex is finite;

3) there exists a basis $a_1, \dots, a_d$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that Γ is invariant under translations through the vectors $a_1, \dots, a_d$:

$$\Gamma + a_s = \Gamma, \qquad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{N}_d = \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

The vectors $a_1, \dots, a_d$ are called the periods of Γ.

4) *All edges of the graph have the unit length.*

From this definition it follows that a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graph Γ is invariant under translations through any integer vector (in the basis $a_1, \dots, a_d$):

$$\Gamma + \mathrm{m} = \Gamma, \qquad \forall\, \mathrm{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Each edge $\mathbf{e}$ of Γ will be identified with the segment $[0, 1]$. This identification introduces a local coordinate $t \in [0, 1]$ along each edge. Thus, we give an orientation on the edge. Note that the spectrum of Laplacians on metric graphs does not depend on the orientation of graph edges. For each function y on Γ we define a function $y_{\mathbf{e}} = y\big|_{\mathbf{e}}$, $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$. We identify each function $y_{\mathbf{e}}$ on $\mathbf{e}$ with a function on $[0, 1]$ by using the local coordinate $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $L^2(\Gamma)$ be the Hilbert space of all functions $y = (y_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}}$, where each $y\big|_{\mathbf{e}} \in L^2(0, 1)$, equipped with the norm

$$\|y\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}} \|y_{\mathbf{e}}\|^2_{L^2(0,1)} < \infty.$$

We define the metric Laplacian Δ_M on $y = (y_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}} \in L^2(\Gamma)$ by

$$(\Delta_M y)_{\mathbf{e}} = -y''_{\mathbf{e}}, \qquad (y''_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}} \in L^2(\Gamma), \tag{1.1}$$

where y satisfies the so-called Kirchhoff conditions:

$$y \text{ is continuous on } \Gamma, \qquad \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)_e\in\mathcal{E}} \delta_{\mathbf{e}}(v)\, y'_{\mathbf{e}}(v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{e}}(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \text{ is a terminal vertex of the edge } \mathbf{e}, \text{ i.e. } t = 1 \text{ at } v, \\ -1, & \text{if } v \text{ is a initial vertex of the edge } \mathbf{e}, \text{ i.e. } t = 0 \text{ at } v. \end{cases}$$

We define *the fundamental graph* $\Gamma_F = (V_F, \mathcal{E}_F)$ of the periodic graph Γ as a graph on the surface $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ by

$$\Gamma_F = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.3}$$

The fundamental graph Γ_F has the vertex set V_F and the set $\mathcal{E}_F$ of unoriented edges, which are finite. In the space $\mathbb{R}^d$ we consider a coordinate system with the origin at some point O and with the basis $a_1, \dots, a_d$. Below the coordinates of all vertices of Γ will be expressed in this coordinate system. We identify the vertices of the fundamental graph $\Gamma_F = (V_F, \mathcal{E}_F)$ with the vertices of the graph $\Gamma = (V, \mathcal{E})$ from the set $[0,1)^d$ by

$$V_F = [0,1)^d \cap V = \{v_1, \dots, v_\nu\}, \qquad \nu = \#V_F < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\#A$ is the number of elements of the set A.

The metric Laplacian Δ_M on $L^2(\Gamma)$ has the decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral

$$L^2(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} L^2(\Gamma_F)\, d\vartheta, \qquad \mathscr{U} \Delta_M \mathscr{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \Delta_M(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \tag{1.5}$$

$\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$, for some unitary operator $\mathscr{U}$. Here the Floquet (fiber) operator $\Delta_M(\vartheta)$ acts on $y = (y_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}_F} \in L^2(\Gamma_F)$ by

$$(\Delta_M(\vartheta) y)_{\mathbf{e}} = \big(i\, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta \rangle\big)^2 y_{\mathbf{e}}, \qquad (y''_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}_F} \in L^2(\Gamma_F), \tag{1.6}$$

see [KS14c], where y satisfies the Kirchhoff conditions (1.2); $\tau(\mathbf{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the so-called edge index, defined in subsection 4.1, $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is more convenient for us instead of the energy parameter E to introduce a new physical parameter, the momentum $z = \sqrt{E}$. Each Floquet operator $\Delta_M(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, acts on the compact graph Γ_F and its spectrum consists of infinitely many isolated eigenvalues $E_n(\vartheta) = z_n^2(\vartheta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of finite multiplicity labeled by

$$z_1^2(\vartheta) \leqslant z_2^2(\vartheta) \leqslant \dots\,. \tag{1.7}$$

Each $z_n^2(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a real and continuous function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ and creates the spectral band $\sigma_n(\Delta_M)$ given by

$$\sigma_n(\Delta_M) = \big[(z_n^-)^2, (z_n^+)^2\big] = z_n^2(\mathbb{T}^d). \tag{1.8}$$

Note that if $z_n^2(\cdot) = C_n = \mathrm{const}$ on some set $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ of positive Lebesgue measure, then the operator Δ_M on Γ has the eigenvalue C_n with infinite multiplicity. We call C_n a *flat band*. The spectrum of the metric Laplace operator Δ_M on the periodic graph Γ has the form

$$\sigma(\Delta_M) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(\Delta_M) = \sigma_{ac}(\Delta_M) \cup \sigma_{fb}(\Delta_M). \tag{1.9}$$

Here $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta_M)$ is the absolutely continuous spectrum, which is a union of non-degenerated intervals from (1.8), and $\sigma_{fb}(\Delta_M)$ is the set of all flat bands (eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity). An open interval between two neighboring non-degenerated spectral bands is called a *spectral gap*.

1.2. **Localization of spectral bands.** Instead of the Laplacian $\Delta_M \geqslant 0$ it is convenient for us to define the momentum operator $\sqrt{\Delta_M} \geqslant 0$. Due to Cattaneo Theorem (see Section 3) both the sets $\sigma_{ac}(\sqrt{\Delta_M})$ and $\sigma_{fb}(\sqrt{\Delta_M})$ are 2π-periodic on the half-line $(0,\infty)$ and are symmetric on the interval $(0,2\pi)$ with respect to the point π. Thus, in order to study Δ_M it is sufficient to study its restriction Ω on the spectral interval $[0,\pi]$ given by

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\Delta_M}\,\chi_{[0,\pi]}(\sqrt{\Delta_M})\,, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\chi_A(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of the set A. The spectrum of the operator Ω on $L^2(\Gamma)$ has the form

$$\sigma(\Omega) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\nu} \sigma_n(\Omega) = \sigma_{ac}(\Omega) \cup \sigma_{fb}(\Omega), \qquad \sigma_n(\Omega) = [z_n^-, z_n^+]. \tag{1.11}$$

Here $\sigma_{ac}(\Omega)$ is a union of non-degenerated spectral bands $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ with $z_n^- < z_n^+ \leqslant \pi$ and $\sigma_{fb}(\Omega)$ is the flat band spectrum (for more details see Section 3).

A subgraph $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$ of Γ is called a *fundamental domain* of Γ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1) $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$ *is a finite connected graph with an edge set* $\mathcal{E}_1$ *and a vertex set* $V_1 \supset V_F$*;*

2) Γ_1 *does not contain any* $\mathbb{Z}^d$*-equivalent edges;*

3) $\bigcup\limits_{\mathrm{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \big(\Gamma_1 + \mathrm{m}\big) = \Gamma.$

Remark. It is possible to remove the "convenient" condition $V_F \subset V_1$ from the definition of the fundamental domain and to consider a wider class of the fundamental domains. In this case the main results still hold true, but their proof will be a bit more complicated.

The fundamental domain Γ_1 is not uniquely defined and we fix one of them. Let $\varkappa_v^1$ be the degree of the vertex $v \in V_1$ on Γ_1. A vertex $v \in V_1$ is called an *inner* vertex of Γ_1, if $\varkappa_v = \varkappa_v^1$, i.e., if all its incident edges $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ also belong to $\mathcal{E}_1$. Denote by V_o the set of all inner vertices of Γ_1. We define a *boundary* ∂V_1 of Γ_1 by the standard identity:

$$\partial V_1 = V_1 \setminus V_o. \tag{1.12}$$

Remark. 1) If the graph Γ_1 is "rather big", then the number of the inner vertices is significantly greater than the number of the boundary vertices. If the graph Γ_1 is "rather small", then the set V_o may be empty and all vertices of Γ_1 are the boundary vertices. But the boundary never disappears. Some examples and discussion of the inner vertex set and the boundary see in [KS14b]

2) $V_o \subset V_F$ (see Lemma 2.1 in [KS14b]).

On the finite graph Γ_1 we define two self-adjoint operators Δ_M^1 and Δ_M^o:

1) The Neumann operator Δ_M^1 on $L^2(\Gamma_1)$ is the metric Laplacian on the graph Γ_1, defined by (1.1), (1.2).

2) The self-adjoint Dirichlet operator Δ_M^o on $f \in L^2(\Gamma_1)$ is defined by

$$\Delta_M^o f = \Delta_M^1 f, \quad \text{where} \quad f|_{\partial V_1} = 0. \tag{1.13}$$

Let Ω_1 and Ω_o be the restrictions of the operators $\sqrt{\Delta_M^1}$ and $\sqrt{\Delta_M^o}$, respectively, on the spectral interval $[0,\pi]$ given by

$$\Omega_\phi = (\Delta_M^\phi)^{1/2} \chi_{[0,\pi]}\big((\Delta_M^\phi)^{1/2}\big), \qquad \phi = o, 1. \tag{1.14}$$

The spectrum of the operators Ω_ϕ, $\phi = o, 1$, on the finite graph Γ_1 consists of ν_ϕ eigenvalues, $\nu_\phi = \#V_\phi$ and may be the additional eigenvalue $z^\phi_{\nu_\phi+1} = \pi$ with an eigenfunction, vanishing at each vertex of Γ_1. Denote the first ν_ϕ eigenvalues, counted according to multiplicity, by

$$z^\phi_1 \leqslant z^\phi_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant z^\phi_{\nu_\phi}, \qquad \nu_\phi = \#V_\phi, \quad \phi = o, 1. \tag{1.15}$$

Lledó and Post [LP08] estimated the position of each band $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ for Ω by

$$\sigma_n(\Omega) \subset J_n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu, \tag{1.16}$$

where the intervals J_n have the form

$$J_n = \begin{cases} [z^1_n, z^o_n], & n = 1, \ldots, \nu_o \\ [z^1_n, \pi], & n = \nu_o + 1, \ldots, \nu \end{cases}. \tag{1.17}$$

The following theorem improves Lledó – Post's results (see subsection 3.3).

Theorem 1.1. *Each band $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ of the operator Ω acting on $L^2(\Gamma)$ satisfies*

$$\sigma_n(\Omega) \subset J_n \cap K_n, \qquad n = 1, \ldots, \nu, \tag{1.18}$$

where the intervals J_n are defined by (1.17) and the intervals K_n are given by

$$K_n = \begin{cases} [0, z^1_{n+\nu_1-\nu}], & n = 1, \ldots, \nu - \nu_o \\ [z^o_{n-\nu+\nu_o}, z^1_{n+\nu_1-\nu}], & n = \nu - \nu_o + 1, \ldots, \nu \end{cases}. \tag{1.19}$$

Remark. 1) A graph is called *bipartite* if its vertex set is divided into two disjoint sets (called *parts* of the graph) such that each edge connects vertices from distinct parts. For a bipartite graph the interval $K_n = \zeta(J_{\nu-n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, where $\zeta(z) = \pi - z$. Thus, in this case the estimate (1.18) has the form

$$\sigma_n(\Omega) \subset J_n \cap \zeta(J_{\nu-n+1}), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu.$$

Note that this result coincides with the result obtained by Lledó and Post in [LP08].

2) Theorem 1.1 estimates the positions of the spectral bands in terms of eigenvalues of the operators Ω_1 and Ω_o on the fundamental domain Γ_1. Moreover, in some cases it allows to determine the existence of gaps and flat bands in the spectrum of the operator Ω. For example, for the graph shown in Fig.1*a* the intervals $J_n \cap K_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_5$, are shown in Fig.1*b*. The spectrum of the operator Ω is also shown in this figure. As we can see Theorem 1.1 detects the flat band $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and the existence of all gaps in the spectrum of the operator (for more details see Section 3).

3) Generally speaking, for distinct fundamental domains Γ_1 the operators Ω_1, Ω_o, their eigenvalues and, consequently, the intervals J_n, K_n are different. We number the fundamental domains $\Gamma^1_1, \Gamma^2_1, \ldots$. Thus, a more precise localization of the spectral bands of the operator Ω on a periodic graph Γ has the form

$$\sigma_n(\Omega) \subset \bigcap_\alpha \left(J^\alpha_n \cap K^\alpha_n\right), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu, \tag{1.20}$$

where J^α_n, K^α_n are the intervals, defined by (1.17), (1.19), for the fundamental domain Γ^α_1.

4) Due to the Cattaneo correspondence between the spectra of discrete and metric Laplacians, the proof of this theorem is reduced to the proof of the spectral band localization for discrete Laplacians. Moreover, we obtain this localization not only for the discrete Laplacians but also for the discrete Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials.



FIGURE 1. *a)* A periodic graph Γ and its fundamental domain Γ_1, the vertices of Γ_1 are big points (white and black); the edges of Γ_1 are marked by bold lines. The set of the inner vertices (black points) and the boundary (white points) are $V_o = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $\partial V_1 = \{v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\}$, respectively. *b)* Eigenvalues of the operators Ω_1 and Ω_o, the intervals J_n and K_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}_5$, and their intersections, the spectrum of the operator Ω.

We present the plan of our paper. Section 2 is devoted to the discrete Schrödinger operators with periodic potentials on periodic graphs. We formulate the result about a localization of their spectral bands in terms of eigenvalues of Dirichlet and Neumann operators on a fundamental domain of the periodic graph. In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we prove the spectral band localization for the discrete Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs and estimate the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum.

2. Localization of spectral bands for discrete Schrödinger operators

2.1. **Discrete Schrödinger operators.** Let $\ell^2(V)$ be the Hilbert space of all square summable functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|^2_{\ell^2(V)} = \sum_{v\in V} |f(v)|^2 < \infty.$$

We define the self-adjoint normalized Laplacian (i.e., the Laplace operator) Δ on $f \in \ell^2(V)$ by

$$\big(\Delta f\big)(v) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_v}} \sum_{(v,\,u)_e\in\mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_u}}\, f(u), \qquad v \in V, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varkappa_v$ is the degree of the vertex $v \in V$ and all loops in the sum (2.1) are counted twice.

We recall the basic facts (see [Ch97], [HS04], [MW89]) for both finite and periodic graphs:
(i) the point -1 *belongs to the spectrum* $\sigma(\Delta)$ *and* $\sigma(\Delta)$ *is contained in* $[-1,1]$*, i.e.,*

$$-1 \in \sigma(\Delta) \subset [-1,1]; \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) a graph is bipartite iff the point $1 \in \sigma(\Delta)$*;*
(iii) on a periodic graph the points ± 1 *are never flat bands of* Δ*.*

We consider the Schrödinger operator H acting on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(V)$ and given by

$$H = \Delta + Q, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\big(Qf\big)(v) = Q(v)f(v) \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \tag{2.4}$$

where we assume that the potential Q is real valued and satisfies

$$Q(v + a_s) = Q(v), \qquad \forall\, (v,s) \in V \times \mathbb{N}_d.$$

2.2. **The spectrum of the Schrödinger operator.** The discrete Schrödinger operator $H = \Delta + Q$ on $\ell^2(V)$ has the decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral

$$\ell^2(V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \ell^2(V_F)\, d\vartheta, \qquad UHU^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} H(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \tag{2.5}$$

$\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$, for some unitary operator U. Here $\ell^2(V_F) = \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is the fiber space and the Floquet $\nu \times \nu$ matrix $H(\vartheta)$ (i.e., a fiber matrix) is given by

$$H(\vartheta) = \Delta(\vartheta) + q, \qquad q = \operatorname{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_\nu), \qquad \forall\, \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tag{2.6}$$

and q_j denote the values of the potential Q on the vertex set V_F by

$$Q(v_j) = q_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}_\nu = \{1, \dots, \nu\}. \tag{2.7}$$

The decomposition (2.5) is standard and follows from the Floquet-Bloch theory [RS78]. The precise expression of the Floquet matrix $\Delta(\vartheta)$ for the Laplacian Δ is given by (4.4). Each Floquet $\nu \times \nu$ matrix $H(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, has ν eigenvalues labeled by

$$\lambda_1(\vartheta) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_\nu(\vartheta). \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the spectrum of the Floquet matrix $H(\vartheta)$ does not depend on the choice of the coordinate origin O. Each $\lambda_n(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, is a real and continuous function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ and creates the spectral band $\sigma_n(H)$ given by

$$\sigma_n(H) = [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+] = \lambda_n(\mathbb{T}^d). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, the spectrum of the operator H on the periodic graph Γ is given by

$$\sigma(H) = \bigcup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sigma\big(H(\vartheta)\big) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\nu} \sigma_n(H). \tag{2.10}$$

Note that if $\lambda_n(\cdot) = C_n = \text{const}$ on some set $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ of positive Lebesgue measure, then the operator H on Γ has the eigenvalue C_n with infinite multiplicity. Thus, the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator H on the periodic graph Γ has the form

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma_{ac}(H) \cup \sigma_{fb}(H), \tag{2.11}$$

where $\sigma_{ac}(H)$ is the absolutely continuous spectrum, which is a union of non-degenerated intervals from (2.9), and $\sigma_{fb}(H) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\}$, $r < \nu$, is the set of all flat bands (eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity).

2.3. **Localization of spectral bands for discrete Schrödinger operators.** On the fundamental domain Γ_1 we define two self-adjoint operators H_1 and H_o:

1) The Neumann operator H_1 on $\ell^2(V_1)$ is the Schrödinger operator on the graph Γ_1, defined by (2.3).

2) The self-adjoint Dirichlet operator H_o on $f \in \ell^2(V_1)$ is defined by

$$H_o f = H_1 f, \quad \text{where} \quad f|_{\partial V_1} = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

We will identify the Dirichlet operator H_o on $f \in \ell^2(V_1)$ with the self-adjoint Dirichlet operator H_o on $f \in \ell^2(V_o)$, since $f|_{\partial V_1} = 0$.

Remark. Due to the boundary conditions $f|_{\partial V_1} = 0$ we call the operator H_o the Dirichlet operator.

Denote the eigenvalues of the operators H_ϕ, $\phi = o, 1$, counted according to multiplicity, by

$$\lambda_1^\phi \leqslant \lambda_2^\phi \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{\nu_\phi}^\phi, \qquad \nu_\phi = \#V_\phi, \quad \phi = o, 1. \tag{2.13}$$

We rewrite the sequence $q_1, \ldots, q_\nu$ defined by (2.7) in nondecreasing order

$$q_1^\bullet \leqslant q_2^\bullet \leqslant \ldots \leqslant q_\nu^\bullet. \tag{2.14}$$

Here $q_1^\bullet = q_{n_1}, q_2^\bullet = q_{n_2}, \ldots, q_\nu^\bullet = q_{n_\nu}$ for some distinct numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_\nu \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$.

Now we formulate the main result of this section about the spectral bands localization for the discrete Schrödinger operator.

Theorem 2.1. *Each band $\sigma_n(H)$ of the operator $H = \Delta + Q$ acting on $\ell^2(V)$ satisfies*

$$\sigma_n(H) \subset \mathcal{J}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n, \qquad n = 1, \ldots, \nu, \tag{2.15}$$

where the intervals $\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{K}_n$ are given by

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \begin{cases} [\lambda_n^1, \lambda_n^o], & n = 1, \ldots, \nu_o \\ [\lambda_n^1, q_n^\bullet + 1], & n = \nu_o + 1, \ldots, \nu \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \begin{cases} [q_n^\bullet - 1, \lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1], & n = 1, \ldots, \nu - \nu_o \\ [\lambda_{n-\nu+\nu_o}^o, \lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1], & n = \nu - \nu_o + 1, \ldots, \nu \end{cases}. \tag{2.17}$$

Remark. 1) The proof of this theorem is similar to the case of the standard Schrödinger operator (see Theorem 1.1 in [KS14b]) and differs from it only in some technical details. But for the sake of completeness we repeat it in section 4.

2) Let the graph Γ be bipartite. If $H = \Delta$, then $\mathcal{K}_n = \eta(\mathcal{J}_{\nu-n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, where $\eta(z) = -z$. Thus, in this case the estimate (2.15) has the form

$$\sigma_n(\Delta) \subset J_n \cap \eta(J_{\nu-n+1}), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. **Cattaneo Correspondence.** Cattaneo obtained a correspondence between the spectrum of the Laplacian Δ_M on the equilateral metric graph and the spectrum of the Laplacian Δ on the corresponding discrete graph [C97]. For the sake of completeness and the reader's convenience we recall this correspondence.

Consider the eigenvalues problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$-y'' = Ey, \qquad y(0) = y(1) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

It is known that the spectrum of this problem is given by $\sigma_D = \{(\pi n)^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Here $(\pi n)^2$ is the so-called Dirichlet eigenvalue of the problem (3.1).

We formulate Cattaneo's result [C97] in the form convenient for us. This theorem gives a basis for describing the spectrum of the operator Δ_M in terms of Δ, and conversely.

Theorem (Cattaneo) *i) The spectrum of the operator $\sqrt{\Delta_M} \geqslant 0$ on a periodic metric graph Γ has the form*

$$\sigma(\sqrt{\Delta_M}) = \sigma_{ac}(\sqrt{\Delta_M}) \cup \sigma_{fb}(\sqrt{\Delta_M}), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sigma_{ac}(\sqrt{\Delta_M}) = \big\{z \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ : \ -\cos z \in \sigma_{ac}(\Delta)\big\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sigma_{fb}(\sqrt{\Delta_M}) = \big\{z \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ : \ -\cos z \in \sigma_{fb}(\Delta)\big\} \cup \{\pi n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{3.4}$$

ii) Both the sets $\sigma_{ac}(\sqrt{\Delta_M})$ and $\sigma_{fb}(\sqrt{\Delta_M})$ are 2π-periodic on the half-line $(0,\infty)$ and are symmetric on the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ with respect to the point π.

iii) The spectrum of the operator Ω on a periodic metric graph Γ has the form

$$\begin{gathered} \sigma(\Omega) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\nu} \sigma_n(\Omega) = \sigma_{ac}(\Omega) \cup \sigma_{fb}(\Omega), \\ \sigma_n(\Omega) = [z_n^-, z_n^+], \qquad -\cos(z_n^\pm) = \lambda_n^\pm, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu. \end{gathered} \tag{3.5}$$

Here $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta)$ is a union of non-degenerated spectral bands $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ with $z_n^- < z_n^+ \leqslant \pi$. The flat band spectrum has the form

$$\sigma_{fb}(\Omega) = \{z_1, \dots, z_r, \pi\}, \qquad -\cos(z_k) = \mu_k \neq 1, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}_r. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark. 1) Cattaneo considered the Laplacian Δ_M on connected locally finite graphs (including finite and periodic graphs). In general, some points of the Dirichlet spectrum σ_D are not eigenvalues of the Laplacian Δ_M.

2) The relation between the spectra of Δ and $\sqrt{\Delta_M}$ is shown in Fig.2.

3) The flat bands πn, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of the operator $\sqrt{\Delta_M}$ will be called *Dirichlet flat bands*.

4) The number of flat bands of the operator Ω is $r+1$. Flat bands $z_1, \dots, z_r$ correspond to r flat bands of the discrete Laplacian and the flat band π is a Dirichlet flat band.

5) For a finite graph Γ_1 the Cattaneo correspondence between the spectra of the discrete Laplacian $H_1 = \Delta_1$ and the operator Ω_1 on Γ_1 and the similar correspondence between the spectra of these operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions on ∂V_1 (i.e, the spectra of the operators $H_o = \Delta_o$ and Ω_o) are given by:

$$z \in \sigma(\Omega_\phi) \qquad \text{iff} \qquad -\cos(z) \in \sigma(H_\phi), \qquad z \neq \pi, \quad \phi = o, 1, \tag{3.7}$$

preserving the multiplicity of the eigenvalues (for $\phi = o$ see Proposition 4.1 in [LP08]). Moreover, if $1 \in \sigma(H_1)$, i.e., the finite graph Γ_1 is bipartite, then there exists the eigenvalue $\pi \in \sigma(\Omega_1)$ with an eigenfunction, not vanishing at any vertex of Γ_1 (see Lemma 4.3 in [LP08]).



FIGURE 2. Relation between the spectra of Δ and $\sqrt{\Delta_M}$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Consider the spectral band $\sigma_n(\Delta) = [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+] \subset [-1,1]$ of the discrete Laplacian Δ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$. Due to Cattaneo Theorem.iii the corresponding spectral band $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ of the momentum operator Ω has the form

$$\sigma_n(\Omega) = [z_n^-, z_n^+] \subset [0,\pi], \quad \text{where} \quad -\cos z_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm. \tag{3.8}$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the spectral band $\sigma_n(\Delta)$, we obtain

$$\sigma_n(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{J}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n, \tag{3.9}$$

where the intervals $\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{K}_n \subset [-1,1]$ are given by (2.16), (2.17) with $q_n^\bullet = 0$. Since the function $\xi(\lambda) = \arccos(-\lambda)$ is an increasing bijection of the segment $[-1,1]$ onto the segment $[0,\pi]$, from (3.9) it follows that

$$\xi\big(\sigma_n(\Delta)\big) \subset \xi(\mathcal{J}_n) \cap \xi(\mathcal{K}_n). \tag{3.10}$$

Due to (3.8), (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\xi\big(\sigma_n(\Delta)\big) = \sigma_n(\Omega), \tag{3.11}$$

$$\xi(\mathcal{J}_n) = \begin{cases} \big[\xi(\lambda_n^1), \xi(\lambda_n^o)\big], & n = 1, \dots, \nu_o \\ \big[\xi(\lambda_n^1), \pi\big], & n = \nu_o + 1, \dots, \nu \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\xi(\mathcal{K}_n) = \begin{cases} \big[0, \xi(\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1)\big], & n = 1, \dots, \nu - \nu_o \\ \big[\xi(\lambda_{n-\nu+\nu_o}^o), \xi(\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1)\big], & n = \nu - \nu_o + 1, \dots, \nu \end{cases}. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $\lambda_{\nu_o}^o < 1$, since $\sigma_\nu \subset [\lambda_{\nu_o}^o, \lambda_{\nu_1}^1] \subset [-1,1]$ does not degenerate into the flat band 1 of the discrete Laplacian Δ. Since the function ξ is increasing and $\lambda_{\nu_o}^o < 1$, Remark 5 after Cattaneo Theorem gives

$$\xi(\lambda_n^\phi) = z_n^\phi, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu_\phi}, \qquad \phi = o, 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Substituting, (3.11) – (3.13) into (3.10) and using (3.14), we obtain (1.18). ∎

3.3. **Example 1.** Consider the operator Ω on the periodic graph Γ shown in Fig.1*a*. Due to Cattaneo Theorem.iii and (4.39) the spectrum of Ω on Γ consists of five bands:

$$\sigma_1(\Omega) \approx [0; 0.95], \quad \sigma_2(\Omega) = \sigma_3(\Omega) = \{\tfrac{\pi}{2}\}, \quad \sigma_4(\Omega) \approx [\tfrac{\pi}{2}; 1.91], \quad \sigma_5(\Omega) \approx [2.02; 2.53] \quad (3.15)$$

and the Dirichlet flat band π.

Using (3.7), (4.40) and directly verifying that π is the eigenvalue of the operators Ω_1 and Ω_o, we obtain the spectra of these operators

$$\sigma(\Omega_1) \approx \big\{0; 1.36; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.97; 2.53; \pi\big\}, \quad \sigma(\Omega_0) \approx \big\{1.15; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.99; \pi\big\}.$$

Thus, the intervals J_n and K_n defined by (1.17), (1.19) and their intersections $J_n \cap K_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_5$, have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1 \approx [0; 1.15], && K_1 = [0, \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,], && \sigma_1(\Omega) \approx [0; 0.95] \subset J_1 \cap K_1 = J_1 \approx [0; 1.15],\\
&J_2 \approx [1.36; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,], && K_2 = [0, \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,], && \sigma_2(\Omega) = \{\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,\} \subset J_2 \cap K_2 = J_2 \approx [1.36; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,],\\
&J_3 \approx [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.99], && K_3 \approx [1.15; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,], && \sigma_3(\Omega) = \{\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,\} = J_3 \cap K_3,\\
&J_4 = [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,, \pi], && K_4 \approx [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.97], && \sigma_4(\Omega) \approx [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.91] \subset J_4 \cap K_4 = K_4 \approx [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,; 1.97],\\
&J_5 = [\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,, \pi], && K_5 \approx [1.99; 2.53], && \sigma_5(\Omega) \approx [2.02; 2.53] \approx J_5 \cap K_5 = K_5 \approx [1.99; 2.53].
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 determines the existence of two gaps and the flat band $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (see Fig.1*b*). The intersection of the intervals J_n and K_n, $n = 3, 4, 5$, gives a more precise estimate of the spectral band $\sigma_n(\Omega)$ than one interval J_n. Moreover, for $n = 4, 5$ the estimate $\sigma_n(\Omega) \subset J_n$ gives the upper bound $z_n^+ \leqslant \pi$ that is trivial. But using (1.18) we obtain more accurate estimates for the spectral bands. Note that the third spectral band (that degenerates into the flat band) is detected precisely.

Remark. The Lebesgue measure $|\sigma(\Omega)|$ and $|\sigma(\Delta)|$ of the spectrum of the operator Ω and the discrete Laplacian Δ, respectively, satisfies

$$|\sigma(\Omega)| \leqslant \tfrac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\,|\sigma(\Delta)|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.16)$$

see Theorem 1.1.ii in [KS14a]. For the graph shown in Fig.1*a*, using the estimates (3.16) and (4.41), we obtain

$$|\sigma(\Omega)| \leqslant \tfrac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\,|\sigma(\Delta)|^{1/2} \leqslant \tfrac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{5} |\sigma_n(\Delta)|\Big)^{1/2} \approx 2.81. \quad (3.17)$$

Finally, we note that (3.15) yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{5} |\sigma_n(\Omega)| \approx (0.95 - 0) + (1.91 - \tfrac{\pi}{2}) + (2.53 - 2.02) \approx 1.80.$$

4. Results for discrete Schrödinger operators

4.1. **The Floquet matrix for the discrete Schrödinger operator.** We need to introduce the two oriented edges (u, v) and (v, u) for each unoriented edge $(u, v)_e \in \mathcal{E}$: the oriented edge starting at $u \in V$ and ending at $v \in V$ will be denoted as the ordered pair (u, v). We denote the sets of all oriented edges of the graph Γ and the fundamental graph Γ_F by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_F$, respectively.

We introduce *an edge index*, which is important to study the spectrum of Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs. For any $v \in V$ the following unique representation holds true:

$$v = [v] + \tilde{v}, \qquad [v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \qquad \tilde{v} \in V_F \subset [0,1)^d. \tag{4.1}$$

In other words, each vertex v can be represented uniquely as the sum of an integer part $[v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and a fractional part $\tilde{v}$ that is a vertex of V_F defined in (1.4). For any oriented edge $\mathbf{e} = (u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ we define **the edge "index"** $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ as the integer vector

$$\tau(\mathbf{e}) = [v] - [u] \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{4.2}$$

where due to (4.1) we have

$$u = [u] + \tilde{u}, \qquad v = [v] + \tilde{v}, \qquad [u], [v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \qquad \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in V_F.$$

If $\mathbf{e} = (u, v)$ is an oriented edge of the graph Γ, then by the definition of the fundamental graph there is an oriented edge $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}\,)$ on Γ_F. For the edge $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathcal{A}_F$ we define the edge index $\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{e}})$ by

$$\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}) = \tau(\mathbf{e}). \tag{4.3}$$

In other words, edge indices of the fundamental graph Γ_F are induced by edge indices of the periodic graph Γ. The edge indices, generally speaking, depend on the choice of the coordinate origin O and the periods $a_1, \dots, a_d$ of the graph Γ. But in a fixed coordinate system the index of the fundamental graph edge is uniquely determined by (4.3), since

$$\tau(\mathbf{e} + \mathrm{m}) = \tau(\mathbf{e}), \qquad \forall\, (\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{m}) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The Schrödinger operator $H = \Delta + Q$ acting on $\ell^2(V)$ has the decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral (2.5), where the Floquet $\nu \times \nu$ matrix $H(\vartheta)$ has the form (2.6). The Floquet matrix $\Delta(\vartheta) = \{\Delta_{jk}(\vartheta)\}_{j,k=1}^{\nu}$ for the Laplacian Δ is given by

$$\Delta_{jk}(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_j \varkappa_k}} \sum\limits_{\mathbf{e}=(v_j, v_k) \in \mathcal{A}_F} e^{\,i\langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle}, & \text{if} \quad (v_j, v_k) \in \mathcal{A}_F \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad (v_j, v_k) \notin \mathcal{A}_F \end{cases}, \tag{4.4}$$

see [KS13], where $\varkappa_j$ is the degree of v_j and $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This explicit expression for the Floquet matrix is very important to prove our main results.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We need the following simple fact (see Theorem 4.3.1 in [HJ85]). *Let A, B be self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrices. Denote by $\lambda_1(A) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_\nu(A)$, $\lambda_1(B) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_\nu(B)$ the eigenvalues of A and B, respectively, arranged in increasing order, counting multiplicities. Then we have*

$$\lambda_n(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leqslant \lambda_n(A + B) \leqslant \lambda_n(A) + \lambda_\nu(B), \qquad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu. \tag{4.5}$$

Inequalities (4.5) and the basic fact (2.2) give that the eigenvalues of the Floquet matrix $H(\vartheta)$ for the Schrödinger operator $H = \Delta + Q$, satisfy

$$q_n^\bullet - 1 \leqslant \lambda_n(\vartheta) \leqslant q_n^\bullet + 1, \qquad \forall\, (\vartheta, n) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{N}_\nu. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $V_o \subset V_F$, without loss of generality we may assume that the set V_o of the inner vertices of the graph $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$ has the form

$$V_o = \{v_1, \dots, v_{\nu_o}\}.$$

We denote the equivalence classes from $V_1/\mathbb{Z}^d$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}_j \equiv \mathcal{Z}(v_j) = \big(\{v_j\} + \mathbb{Z}^d\big) \cap V_1, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}_\nu. \tag{4.7}$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}_j = \{v_j\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu_o}$.

The Neumann operator H_1 on the graph Γ_1 is equivalent to the $\nu_1 \times \nu_1$ self-adjoint matrix $H_1 = \{H^1_{jk}\}^{\nu_1}_{j,k=1}$ given by

$$H_1 = \Delta_1 + q^1, \qquad q^1 = \operatorname{diag}(q^1_1, \dots, q^1_{\nu_1}), \tag{4.8}$$

where $q^1_k = q_j$, if $v_k \in \mathcal{Z}_j$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu_1}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, and the matrix $\Delta_1 = \{\Delta^1_{jk}\}^{\nu_1}_{j,k=1}$ has the form

$$\Delta^1_{jk} = -\tfrac{\varkappa^1_{jk}}{\sqrt{\varkappa^1_j \varkappa^1_k}}\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Here $\varkappa^1_j$ is the degree of the vertex $v_j \in V_1$ on the graph Γ_1; $\varkappa^1_{jk} \geqslant 1$ is the multiplicity of the edge $(v_j, v_k) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\varkappa^1_{jk} = 0$ if $(v_j, v_k) \notin \mathcal{E}_1$.

The Dirichlet operator H_o is described by the $\nu_o \times \nu_o$ self-adjoint matrix $H_o = \{H^o_{jk}\}^{\nu_o}_{j,k=1}$ with entries

$$H^o_{jk} = H^1_{jk} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad j,k \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu_o}. \tag{4.10}$$

Recall that

$$\varkappa^1_j = \varkappa_j \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu_o}. \tag{4.11}$$

We recall well-known facts.

Denote by $\lambda_1(A) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_\nu(A)$ the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrix A, arranged in increasing order, counting multiplicities. Each λ_n satisfies the minimax principle:

$$\lambda_n(A) = \min_{S_n \subset \mathbb{C}^\nu} \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_n}} \langle Ax, x\rangle, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\lambda_n(A) = \max_{S_{\nu-n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^\nu} \min_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_{\nu-n+1}}} \langle Ax, x\rangle, \tag{4.13}$$

where S_n denotes a subspace of dimension n and the outer optimization is over all subspaces of the indicated dimension (see p.180 in [HJ85]).

First, for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we define the ν-dimensional subspace $Y_\vartheta \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1}$ by

$$Y_\vartheta = \Big\{x = (x_k)^{\nu_1}_{k=1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1} : \forall\, k = \nu+1, \dots, \nu_1 \quad x_k = \sqrt{\tfrac{\varkappa^1_k}{\varkappa^1_j}}\, e^{\,i\langle v_k - v_j,\, \vartheta\rangle}\, x_j\,, \\ \text{where } j = j(k) \in \mathbb{N}_\nu \text{ is such that } v_k \in \mathcal{Z}_j\Big\}. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that $j = j(k)$ in (4.14) is uniquely defined for each $k = \nu+1, \dots, \nu_1$. Let $1 \leqslant n \leqslant \nu$. Using (4.12) and (4.13) we write

$$\lambda^1_j = \min_{S_j \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1}} \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_j}} \langle H_1 x, x\rangle \geqslant \min_{S_j \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1}} \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_j \cap Y_\vartheta}} \langle H_1 x, x\rangle, \quad j = n + \nu_1 - \nu, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\lambda^1_n = \max_{S_k \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1}} \min_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_k}} \langle H_1 x, x\rangle \leqslant \max_{S_k \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1}} \min_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_k \cap Y_\vartheta}} \langle H_1 x, x\rangle, \quad k = \nu_1 - n + 1, \tag{4.16}$$

where S_j denotes a subspace of dimension j. For $x \in Y_\vartheta$ we have

$$\langle H_1 x, x\rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu_1} H^1_{jk}\, \bar{x}_j\, x_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_1} q^1_j |x_j|^2 - \sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu_1} \frac{\varkappa^1_{jk}}{\sqrt{\varkappa^1_j \varkappa^1_k}}\, \bar{x}_j\, x_k, \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_1} q_j^1|x_j|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_o} q_j|x_j|^2+\sum_{j=\nu_o+1}^{\nu}\frac{q_j\sum\limits_{v\in\mathcal{Z}_j}\varkappa_v^1}{\varkappa_j^1}\,|x_j|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_o} q_j|x_j|^2+\sum_{j=\nu_o+1}^{\nu} q_j\,\frac{\varkappa_j}{\varkappa_j^1}\,|x_j|^2, \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu_1}\frac{\varkappa_{jk}^1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_j^1\varkappa_k^1}}\,\bar{x}_j\,x_k=\sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_j^1\varkappa_k^1}}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v_j,\,v_k)\in\mathcal{A}_F} e^{\,i\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\,\vartheta\rangle}\,\bar{x}_j\,x_k. \tag{4.19}$$

In (4.18) we have used the identity

$$\sum_{v\in\mathcal{Z}_j}\varkappa_v^1=\varkappa_j. \tag{4.20}$$

We introduce the new vector

$$y=(y_j)_{j=1}^{\nu}\in\mathbb{C}^{\nu},\qquad y_j=x_j\sqrt{\frac{\varkappa_j}{\varkappa_j^1}}\,,\qquad j\in\mathbb{N}_{\nu}. \tag{4.21}$$

Since $\varkappa_j^1=\varkappa_j$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant\nu_o$, we have $y_j=x_j$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_{\nu_o}$, and, using (4.20), for $x\in Y_\vartheta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|^2&=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_1}|x_j|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_o}|x_j|^2+\sum_{j=\nu_o+1}^{\nu}\frac{\sum\limits_{v\in\mathcal{Z}_j}\varkappa_v^1}{\varkappa_j^1}|x_j|^2\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_o}|x_j|^2+\sum_{j=\nu_o+1}^{\nu}\frac{\varkappa_j}{\varkappa_j^1}\,|x_j|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}|y_j|^2=\|y\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Combining (4.17) – (4.19) for $x\in Y_\vartheta$, (4.21) and the definition of $H(\vartheta)$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$\langle H_1x,x\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu}q_j|y_j|^2-\sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varkappa_j\varkappa_k}}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v_j,\,v_k)\in\mathcal{A}_F}e^{\,i\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\,\vartheta\rangle}\,\bar{y}_j\,y_k=\langle H(\vartheta)y,y\rangle. \tag{4.23}$$

This, (4.15), (4.16), (4.22) and the minimax principle (4.12), (4.13) yield for $1\leqslant n\leqslant\nu$:

$$\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1\geqslant\min_{S_n\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\max_{\substack{\|y\|=1\\ y\in S_n}}\langle H(\vartheta)y,y\rangle=\lambda_n(\vartheta)\,, \tag{4.24}$$

$$\lambda_n^1\leqslant\max_{S_{\nu-n+1}\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\min_{\substack{\|y\|=1\\ y\in S_{\nu-n+1}}}\langle H(\vartheta)y,y\rangle=\lambda_n(\vartheta)\,. \tag{4.25}$$

Second, let $X=\{x\in\mathbb{C}^{\nu}:x_{\nu_o+1}=\ldots=x_{\nu}=0\}$ be the ν_o-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}$ and let $1\leqslant n\leqslant\nu_o$. Using (4.12) and (4.13) we write

$$\lambda_j(\vartheta)=\min_{S_j\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\max_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ x\in S_j}}\langle H(\vartheta)x,x\rangle\geqslant\min_{S_j\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\max_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ x\in S_j\cap X}}\langle H(\vartheta)x,x\rangle,\quad j=n+\nu-\nu_o\,, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\lambda_n(\vartheta)=\max_{S_k\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\min_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ x\in S_k}}\langle H(\vartheta)x,x\rangle\leqslant\max_{S_k\subset\mathbb{C}^{\nu}}\min_{\substack{\|x\|=1\\ x\in S_k\cap X}}\langle H(\vartheta)x,x\rangle,\quad k=\nu-n+1. \tag{4.27}$$

For $x\in X$ we have

$$\langle H(\vartheta)x,x\rangle=\sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu}H_{jk}(\vartheta)\,\bar{x}_j\,x_k=\sum_{j,k=1}^{\nu_o}H_{jk}^o,\bar{x}_j\,x_k=\langle H_ox,x\rangle, \tag{4.28}$$

$$\|x\| = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |x_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_o} |x_j|^2. \tag{4.29}$$

Then for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant \nu_o$ we may rewrite the inequalities (4.26), (4.27) in the form

$$\lambda_{n+\nu-\nu_o}(\vartheta) \geqslant \min_{S_n \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_o}} \max_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_n}} \langle H_o x, x\rangle = \lambda_n^o, \tag{4.30}$$

$$\lambda_n(\vartheta) \leqslant \max_{S_{\nu_o-n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\nu_o}} \min_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in S_{\nu_o-n+1}}} \langle H_o x, x\rangle = \lambda_n^o. \tag{4.31}$$

Combining (4.25) and (4.31) and using (4.6), we obtain for all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_n(\vartheta) \in [\lambda_n^1, \lambda_n^o] = \mathcal{J}_n, && n = 1, \dots, \nu_o\,, \\ &\lambda_n(\vartheta) \in [\lambda_n^1, q_n^\bullet + 1] = \mathcal{J}_n, && n = \nu_o + 1, \dots, \nu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Similarly, from (4.24) and (4.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_n(\vartheta) \in [q_n^\bullet - 1, \lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1] = \mathcal{K}_n, && n = 1, \dots, \nu - \nu_o\,, \\ &\lambda_n(\vartheta) \in [\lambda_{n+\nu_o-\nu}^o, \lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1] = \mathcal{K}_n, && n = \nu - \nu_o + 1, \dots, \nu, \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

for all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$. The relations (4.32) and (4.33) prove (2.15). ∎

Now we estimate the total length of all spectral bands of H.

Theorem 4.1. *The total length of all spectral bands $\sigma_n(H)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, of H satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o} \big(\lambda_{\nu_1-(\nu-\nu_o)+n}^1 - \lambda_n^1\big), \quad \textit{if} \quad \nu \geqslant 2\nu_o, \tag{4.34}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o} \big(\lambda_n^o - \lambda_{(2\nu_o-\nu)+n}^o\big) - \sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o} \big(\lambda_n^1 - \lambda_{(\nu_1-\nu_o)+n}^1\big) \qquad \textit{if} \qquad \nu < 2\nu_o. \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. Let $\nu > 2\nu_o$. Then, using (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H)| &\leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o} (\lambda_n^o - \lambda_n^1) + \sum_{n=\nu_o+1}^{\nu-\nu_o} (\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1 - \lambda_n^1) + \sum_{n=\nu-\nu_o+1}^{\nu} (\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1 - \lambda_{n-\nu+\nu_o}^o) \\ &= \sum_{n=\nu_o+1}^{\nu} \lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o} \lambda_n^1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o} \big(\lambda_{\nu_1-(\nu-\nu_o)+n}^1 - \lambda_n^1\big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Similarly, if $\nu = 2\nu_o$, then the formulas (2.16) and (2.17) give

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o} (\lambda_n^o - \lambda_n^1) + \sum_{n=\nu_o+1}^{\nu} (\lambda_{n+\nu_1-\nu}^1 - \lambda_{n-\nu+\nu_o}^o) = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o} \big(\lambda_{\nu_1-(\nu-\nu_o)+n}^1 - \lambda_n^1\big). \tag{4.37}$$

The estimates (4.36) and (4.37) give (4.34).

Now let $\nu < 2\nu_o$. Then, using (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu}|\sigma_n(H)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o}(\lambda_n^o-\lambda_n^1)+\sum_{n=\nu-\nu_o+1}^{\nu_o}(\lambda^1_{n+\nu_1-\nu}-\lambda_n^1)+\sum_{n=\nu_o+1}^{\nu}(\lambda^1_{n+\nu_1-\nu}-\lambda^o_{n-\nu+\nu_o})$$
$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o}\lambda_n^o-\sum_{n=\nu_o+1}^{\nu}\lambda^o_{n-\nu+\nu_o}-\sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o}\lambda_n^1+\sum_{n=\nu-\nu_o+1}^{\nu}\lambda^1_{n+\nu_1-\nu}$$
$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\nu-\nu_o}\big(\lambda_n^o-\lambda^o_{(2\nu_o-\nu)+n}\big)-\sum_{n=1}^{\nu_o}\big(\lambda_n^1-\lambda^1_{(\nu_1-\nu_o)+n}\big).$$

Thus, the estimate (4.35) has also been proved. ■

4.3. **Example 2.** Consider the Laplacian $H=\Delta$ on the periodic graph Γ shown in Fig.1*a*. The set of the fundamental graph vertices is $V_F=\{v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4,v_5\}$. For each $\vartheta=(\vartheta_1,\vartheta_2)\in\mathbb{T}^2$ the matrix $\Delta(\vartheta)$ defined by (4.4) has the form

$$\Delta(\vartheta)=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0\\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 & -\frac{1+e^{i\vartheta_1}}{6} & -\frac{1+e^{i\vartheta_2}}{\sqrt{24}}\\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{1+e^{-i\vartheta_1}}{6} & 0 & -\frac{1+e^{i\vartheta_2}}{\sqrt{24}}\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1+e^{-i\vartheta_2}}{\sqrt{24}} & -\frac{1+e^{-i\vartheta_2}}{\sqrt{24}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.38}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $\Delta(\vartheta)$ is given by

$$\det(\Delta(\vartheta)-\lambda\mathbb{1}_5)=\lambda^2\Big(-\lambda^3+\big(\tfrac{1}{18}c_1+\tfrac{1}{6}c_2+\tfrac{5}{9}\big)\lambda-\tfrac{1}{12}c_1-\tfrac{1}{36}c_1c_2-\tfrac{1}{36}c_2-\tfrac{1}{12}\Big),$$

$\mathbb{1}_5$ is the 5×5 identity matrix, $c_1=\cos\vartheta_1$, $c_2=\cos\vartheta_2$. The spectrum of the Laplacian Δ on the periodic graph Γ consists of five bands:

$$\sigma_1(\Delta)\approx[-1;-0.58],\quad \sigma_2(\Delta)=\sigma_3(\Delta)=\{0\},\quad \sigma_4(\Delta)\approx[0;0.33],\quad \sigma_5(\Delta)\approx[0.43;0.82]. \tag{4.39}$$

The fundamental domain $\Gamma_1=(V_1,\mathcal{E}_1)$ shown in Fig.1*a* has the vertex set V_1 given by

$$V_1=\{v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4,v_5,v_6=v_5+a_2,v_7=v_4+a_1\}.$$

The set of the inner vertices V_o and the boundary ∂V_1 of Γ_1 have the form

$$V_o=\{v_1,v_2,v_3\},\qquad \partial V_1=\{v_4,v_5,v_6,v_7\}.$$

The matrices H_1 and H_o, defined by (4.8) – (4.10), in this case have the form

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{30}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{30}} & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{12}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spectra of the operators H_1 and H_o are

$$\sigma(H_1) \approx \big\{-1; -0.21; 0; 0; 0; 0.39; 0.82\big\}, \quad \sigma(H_0) = \big\{-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\,; 0\,; \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\,\big\} \approx \big\{-0.41; 0; 0.41\big\}. \tag{4.40}$$

Thus, the intervals $\mathcal{J}_n$ and $\mathcal{K}_n$ defined by (2.16), (2.17) and their intersections $\mathcal{J}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_5$, have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{J}_1 \approx [-1; -0.41], && \mathcal{K}_1 = [-1, 0], && \sigma_1(\Delta) \approx [-1; -0.58] \subset \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1 \approx [-1; -0.41],\\
&\mathcal{J}_2 \approx [-0.21; 0], && \mathcal{K}_2 = [-1, 0], && \sigma_2(\Delta) = \{0\} \subset \mathcal{J}_2 \cap \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{J}_2 \approx [-0.21; 0],\\
&\mathcal{J}_3 \approx [0; 0.41], && \mathcal{K}_3 \approx [-0.41; 0], && \sigma_3(\Delta) = \{0\} = \mathcal{J}_3 \cap \mathcal{K}_3,\\
&\mathcal{J}_4 = [0, 1], && \mathcal{K}_4 \approx [0; 0.39], && \sigma_4(\Delta) \approx [0; 0.33] \subset \mathcal{J}_4 \cap \mathcal{K}_4 = \mathcal{K}_4 \approx [0; 0.39],\\
&\mathcal{J}_5 = [0, 1], && \mathcal{K}_5 \approx [0.41; 0.82], && \sigma_5(\Delta) \approx [0.43; 0.82] \approx \mathcal{J}_5 \cap \mathcal{K}_5 = \mathcal{K}_5 \approx [0.41; 0.82].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. For the graph shown in Fig.1*a* $6 = 2\nu_o > \nu = 5$ and the estimate (4.35) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{5} |\sigma_n(H)| &\leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{2} \big(\lambda_n^o - \lambda_{n+1}^o\big) - \sum_{n=1}^{3} \big(\lambda_n^1 - \lambda_{n+4}^1\big) \\
&= (\lambda_1^o - \lambda_3^o) - (\lambda_1^1 + \lambda_2^1 + \lambda_3^1) + (\lambda_5^1 + \lambda_6^1 + \lambda_7^1) \approx -0.82 + 1.21 + 1.21 = 1.60.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Finally, we note that (4.39) yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{5} |\sigma_n(H)| \approx (-0.58 + 1) + (0.33 - 0) + (0.82 - 0.43) = 1.14.$$

Acknowledgments. Various parts of this paper were written during Evgeny Korotyaev's stay in the Mathematical Institute of Tsukuba University, Japan. He is grateful to the institutes for the hospitality. His study was partly supported by the RFFI grant No. 11-01-00458 and by the project SPbSU No. 11.38.215.2014.

References

[C97] Cattaneo, C. The spectrum of the continuous Laplacian on a graph, Monatsh. Math. 124 (1997), 215–235.

[Ch97] Chung, F. Spectral graph theory, AMS, Providence, RI, 1997.

[HS04] Higuchi, Y. Shirai, T. Some spectral and geometric properties for infinite graphs, AMS Contemp. Math. 347 (2004), 29–56.

[HJ85] Horn, R; Johnson, C. Matrix analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[KS13] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Spectral estimates for normalized Laplacian and its perturbations on periodic discrete graphs, preprint 2013.
[KS14a] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Estimates of bands for Laplacians on periodic equilateral metric graphs, preprint 2014.
[KS14b] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Spectral band localization for Schrödinger operators on discrete periodic graphs, preprint 2014.
[KS14c] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Laplacians on periodic metric graphs, in preparation.
[LP08] Lledó, F.; Post, O. Eigenvalue bracketing for discrete and metric graphs, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 348 (2008), 806–833.
[MW89] Mohar, B.; Woess, W. A survey on spectra of infinite graphs, Bull. London Math. Soc., 21 (1989), 209–234.
[RS78] Reed, M.; Simon, B. Methods of modern mathematical physics, vol.IV, Analysis of operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

Mathematical Physics Department, Faculty of Physics, Ulianovskaya 2, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, 198904, korotyaev@gmail.com,

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Algebra and Geometry, Uritskogo St. 68, Northern (Arctic) Federal University, Arkhangelsk, 163002, Russia, n.saburova@gmail.com